

Faulk, Camilla

From: Thomas Phelan [attorneyphelan@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2011 11:41 AM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Proposed Rule 4.11

As an attorney practicing criminal defense for over 30 years, this rule makes sense. The reasons advanced against it are aimed at making the system work slower and not afford protections to all involved. We have been taping in this county for many years, and rarely, if ever, does anyone refuse to submit to taping. The advocates for alleged victims claim it will be traumatic, even though the alleged victims will often cooperate with law enforcement taking a taped statement. I adopt all of the reasons no doubt already advanced in support of this rule. Thank you.

Thomas C. Phelan
Attorney at Law
904 Esther Street
Vancouver, Washington 98660-3026
360-750-8750 Telephone
360-750-8776 Facsimile

The above does not check his e-mail with any established frequency and as such only conducts business via e-mail on an irregular basis. The recipient is advised that the above does not consider any e-mails received as formal notice of any court or legal related matters.